

## INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING SCIENCES & RESEARCH TECHNOLOGY

# Estimation of Weibull Parameters In Accelerated Life Testing Using Geometric Process With Type-Ii Censored Data

## Mustafa Kamal

Department of Statistics & Operations Research, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh-202002, India kamal19252003@gmail.com

#### **Abstract**

In Accelerated life testing (ALT), generally, the log linear function between life and stress is used to obtain the estimates of original parameters of the life. The log linear is just a simple re-parameterization of the original parameter and hard to use in mathematical calculations. From statistical point of view, it is preferable to work with the original parameters instead of developing inferences for the parameters of the log-linear link function. In this situation, the use of geometric process may be a good alternative in accelerated life testing to obtain the original parameter of life distribution directly. In this paper, the maximum likelihood estimates of the parameters of Weibull distribution with type-II censored data by assuming that the lifetimes under increasing stress levels in accelerated life testing form a geometric process are obtained. In addition, by using Fisher information matrix the asymptotic confidence intervals are also constructed for the parameters. Lastly a Simulation study is performed to check the statistical properties of estimates and the confidence intervals.

**Keywords**: Maximum Likelihood Estimation; Survival Function; Fisher Information Matrix; Asymptotic Confidence Interval; Simulation Study.

#### Introduction

Nowadays, there is a big competition among manufacturing industries to provide quality products to their customers and hence the customer expectations are also very high which makes the products in recent era very reliable and dependable. As in life testing experiments the failure time data is used to obtain the product life characteristics under normal operating conditions, therefore, such life data has become very difficult to obtain as a result of the great reliability of today's products and hence under normal operating conditions, as products usually last long, the corresponding life-tests become very time consuming and expensive. In these cases, an accelerated life test (ALT) which is a quick way to obtain information about the life distribution of a material, component or product can be applied to reduce the experimental time and the cost incurred in the experiment. In ALT items are subjected to conditions that are more severe than the normal ones, which yields shorter life but, hopefully, do not change the failure mechanisms. Failure information collected under this severe test stresses can be extrapolated to obtain an estimate of lifetime under normal operating condition based on some life-stress relationship.

ALTs, generally deal with three types of stress loadings i.e. constant stress, step stress and linearly

increasing stress. The constant stress loading is a time-independent test setting and others are the time-dependent test setting. The constant stress loading has several advantages over time-dependent test settings, for example, most of the products in real life are operated at a constant stress. Therefore, a constant stress test describes the actual use of the product. Also, it is comparatively easy to run and to quantify a constant stress test. Failure data obtained from ALT can be divided into two categories: complete (all failure data are available) or censored (some of failure data are missing). For more details about ALTs one can consult Bagdonavicius and Nikulin [1], Meeker and Escobar [2], Nelson [3, 4], Mann and Singpurwalla [5].

Constant stress ALT with different types of data and test planning has been studied by many authors. For example, Yang [6] proposed an optimal design of 4-level constant-stress ALT plans considering different censoring times. Pan et al. [7] proposed a bivariate constant stress accelerated degradation test model by assuming that the copula parameter is a function of the stress level that can be described by a logistic function. Chen et al. [8] discuss the optimal design of multiple stress constant accelerated life test plan on non-rectangle test region. Watkins and John [9] considers constant stress accelerated life tests based on Weibull

distributions with constant shape and a log-linear link between scale and the stress factor which is terminated by a Type-II censoring regime at one of the stress levels. Fan and Yu [10] discuss the reliability analysis of the constant stress accelerated life tests when a parameter in the generalized gamma lifetime distribution is linear in the stress level. Ding et al. [11] dealt with Weibull distribution to obtain accelerated life test sampling plans under type I progressive interval censoring with random removals. Ahmad et al. [12], Islam and Ahmad [13], Ahmad and Islam [14], Ahmad, et al. [15] and Ahmad [16] discuss the optimal constant stress accelerated life test designs under periodic inspection and Type-I censoring.

Geometric process (GP) is first used by Lam [17] in the study of repair replacement problem. Since then a large amount of studies in maintenance problems and system reliability have been shown that a GP model is a good and simple model for analysis of data with a single trend or multiple trends, for example, Lam and Zhang [18], Lam [19] and Zhang [20]. So far, there are only four studies in the analysis of accelerated life test that utilize the GP. Huang [21] introduced the GP model for the analysis of constant stress ALT with complete and censored exponential samples. Kamal et al. [22] extended the GP model for the analysis of complete Weibull failure data in constant stress ALT. Zhou et al. [23] implement the GP in ALT based on the progressive Type-I hybrid censored Rayleigh failure data. More recently Kamal et al. [24] used the geometric process for the analysis of constant stress accelerated life testing for Pareto Distribution with complete data.

In this paper, the geometric process with maximum likelihood estimation technique is used to obtain the estimates of the parameters of Weibull distribution in constant stress ALT with and type-II censoring scheme. The confidence intervals for parameters are also obtained by using the asymptotic properties of normal distribution. In the last, the statistical properties of estimates and confidence intervals are examined through a simulation study.

## The Model and Test Procedure

### The Geometric Process (GP)

A GP is a stochastic process  $\{X_n, n=1,2,...\}$  such that  $\{\lambda^{n-1}X_n, n=1,2,...\}$  forms a renewal process where  $\lambda>0$  is real valued and called the ratio of the GP. It is easy to show that if  $\{X_n, n=1,2,...\}$  is a GP and the probability density function of  $X_1$  is f(x) with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$  then the probability density

function of  $X_n$  will be  $\lambda^{n-1} f(\lambda^{n-1} x)$  with mean  $\mu/\lambda^{n-1}$  and variance  $\sigma^2/\lambda^{2(n-1)}$ .

It is clear to see that a GP is stochastically increasing if  $0 < \lambda < 1$  and stochastically decreasing if  $\lambda > 1$ . Therefore, GP is a natural approach to analyse the data from a series of events with trend. For more details about GP and its properties see Braun et al. [25].

#### The Weibull Distribution

The probability density function, the cumulative distribution function, the survival function and the failure rate (or hazard rate) of a two parameter Weibull distribution with scale parameter  $\alpha > 0$  and shape parameter  $\beta > 0$ , are given respectively by

$$f(x|\alpha,\beta) = \frac{\beta}{\alpha^{\beta}} x^{\beta-1} \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^{\beta}\right\}, \quad x \ge 0$$

$$F(x|\alpha,\beta) = 1 - \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^{\beta}\right\}, \qquad x \ge 0$$

$$S(x) = \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^{\beta}\right\}, \qquad x \ge 0$$

$$h(x) = \frac{k}{\alpha} \left( \frac{x}{\alpha} \right)^{\beta - 1}$$

It is easy to verify that failure rate (or hazard rate) decreases if  $\beta < 1$  (or increases if  $\beta > 1$ ) and  $\beta = 1$  indicates that the failure rate is constant.

#### Assumptions and test procedure

- Suppose that an accelerated life test with s increasing stress levels in which a random sample of n identical items is placed under each stress level and start to operate at the same time. Let  $x_{ki}$ , i = 1, 2, ..., n, k = 1, 2, ..., sdenote observed failure time of  $i^{th}$  test item under  $k^{th}$  stress level. Whenever an item fails, it will be removed from the test and the test is continue until a prespecified number of failures r at each stress level (type-II censoring). Here total numbers of observed failure are r and can written as  $x_{k(1)} \le x_{k(2)} \le \dots \le x_{k(r)}$ .
- ii. The product life follows Weibull distribution given by (1) at any stress.

iii. The scale parameter is a log-linear function of stress, that is,  $\log(\alpha_k) = a + bS_k$ , where a and b are unknown parameters depending on the nature of the product and the test method.

iv. Let random variables  $X_0, X_1, X_2, ..., X_s$ , denote the lifetimes under each stress level, where  $X_0$  denotes item's lifetime under the design stress at which items will operate ordinarily and sequence  $\{X_k, k=1,2,...,s\}$  forms a geometric process with ratio  $\lambda > 0$ .

Assumptions (i-iii) are very usually discussed in literature of ALTs but assumption (iv) which will be used in this study may be better than the usual one without increasing the complexity of calculations. The next theorem discusses how the assumption of geometric process (assumption iv) is satisfied when there is a log linear relationship between a life and stress (assumption iii).

**Theorem 2.1:** If the stress level in a constant stress ALT is increasing with a constant difference then the lifetimes under each stress level forms a GP that is, If  $S_{k+1} - S_k$  is constant for k = 1, 2, ..., s - 1, then  $\{X_k, k = 0, 1, 2, ..., s\}$  forms a GP. Or log linear relationship and GP model are equivalent when the stress increases arithmetically in constant stress ALT.

**Proof:** From assumption (iii), it can easily be shown that

$$\log\left(\frac{\alpha_{k+1}}{\alpha_k}\right) = b(S_{k+1} - S_k) = b\Delta S \quad (2)$$

Now eq. (2) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\alpha_{k+1}}{\alpha_k} = e^{b\Delta S} = \frac{1}{\lambda}$$
 (Assumed) (3)

This shows that stress levels increases arithmetically with a constant difference  $\Delta S$  . Therefore, It is clear from (3) that

$$\alpha_k = \frac{1}{\lambda} \alpha_{k-1} = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \alpha_{k-2} = \dots = \frac{1}{\lambda^k} \alpha$$

The PDF of the product lifetime under the  $k^{th}$  stress level is

ISSN: 2277-9655 Impact Factor: 1.852

$$f_{X_{k}}(x) = \frac{\beta}{\alpha_{k}^{\beta}} x^{\beta-1} \exp \left\{ -\left(\frac{x}{\alpha_{k}}\right)^{\beta} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{\beta}{\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{k}}\alpha\right)^{\beta}} x^{\beta-1} \exp \left\{ -\left(\frac{x}{\frac{1}{\lambda^{k}}\alpha}\right)^{\beta} \right\}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\lambda^{k}}{\alpha}\right)^{\beta} \beta x^{\beta-1} \exp \left\{ -\left(\frac{\lambda^{k}}{\alpha}x\right)^{\beta} \right\}$$

This implies that

$$f_{X_k}(x) = \lambda^k f_{X_0}(\lambda^k x) \tag{4}$$

Now, the definition of GP and (4) have the evidence that, if density function of  $X_0$  is  $f_{X_0}(x)$ , then the probability density function of  $X_k$  will be given by  $\lambda^k f(\lambda^k x)$ ,  $k=0,1,2,\cdots,s$ . Therefore, it is clear that lifetimes under a sequence of arithmetically increasing stress levels form a geometric process with ratio  $\lambda$ .

#### **Maximum Likelihood Estimation**

Here the maximum likelihood method of estimation in which the estimates of parameters are those values which maximize the sampling distribution of data is used. Also ML method is very robust and gives the estimates of parameter with good statistical properties. However, ML estimation method is very simple for one parameter distributions but its implementation in ALT is mathematically more intense and, generally, estimates of parameters do not exist in closed form, therefore, numerical techniques such as Newton Method, Some computer programs are used to compute them.

Let the test at each stress level is terminated after having r failures. Assume that  $x_{k(r)}(< n)$  failures at the  $k^{th}$  stress level are observed before the test is suspended and (n-r) units are still surviving at each stress level.

Now the likelihood function for constant stress ALT with Type II censored Weibull failure data using GP at one of the stress level is given by

$$L_{k}(\alpha, \theta, \lambda) = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!} \left[ \left( \frac{\lambda^{k}}{\alpha} \right)^{r\beta} \beta^{r} \prod_{i=1}^{r} x_{k(i)}^{\beta-1} \exp \left\{ -\left( \frac{\lambda^{k} x_{k(i)}}{\alpha} \right)^{\beta} \right\} \right] \exp \left\{ -\left( \frac{\lambda^{k} x_{k(r)}}{\alpha} \right)^{\beta} \right\} \right]^{n-r}$$

http://www.ijesrt.com (C) International Journal of Engineering Sciences & Research Technology [2340-2347]

Therefore, now the likelihood function of observed data for total S stress levels is

$$L_{k}(\alpha, \theta, \lambda) = L_{1} \times L_{2} \dots \times L_{s}$$

$$= \prod_{k=1}^{s} \left[ \frac{n!}{(n-r)!} \left( \frac{\lambda^{k}}{\alpha} \right)^{r\beta} \beta^{r} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{r} x_{k(i)}^{\beta-1} \exp \left\{ -\left( \frac{\lambda^{k}}{\alpha} x_{k(i)} \right)^{\beta} \right\} \right] \left[ \exp \left\{ -\left( \frac{\lambda^{k}}{\alpha} x_{k(r)} \right)^{\beta} \right\} \right]^{n-r}$$
(5)

The log-likelihood function corresponding (5) takes the form

The log-likelihood function corresponding (5) takes the form 
$$l = \log L_k(\alpha, \theta, \lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{s} \left[ \log \left( \frac{n!}{(n-r)!} \right) + kr\beta \log \lambda - r\beta \log \alpha + r \log \beta + (\beta - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{r} \log x_{k(i)} - \left( \frac{\lambda^k}{\alpha} \right)^{\beta} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{k(i)}^{\beta} + (n-r)(x_{k(r)})^{\beta} \right) \right]$$

MLEs of  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\lambda$  are obtained by solving the following normal equations

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \alpha} = \sum_{k=1}^{s} \left[ -\frac{r\beta}{\alpha} + \beta \lambda^{k\beta} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \right)^{\beta+1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{r_k} x_{k(i)}^{\beta} + (n-r)(x_{k(r)})^{\beta} \right) \right] = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \lambda} = \sum_{k=1}^{s} \left[ \frac{kr\beta}{\lambda} - \frac{k\beta}{\lambda} \left( \frac{\lambda^{k}}{\alpha} \right)^{\beta} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{k(i)}^{\beta} + (n-r)(x_{k(r)})^{\beta} \right) \right] = 0$$

$$kr \log \lambda - r \log \alpha + \frac{r}{\beta} + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \log(x_{k(i)})$$

$$- \left( \frac{\lambda^{k}}{\alpha} \right)^{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{r} (x_{k(i)})^{\beta} \left\{ \log(x_{k(i)}) + \log \left( \frac{\lambda^{k}}{\alpha} \right) \right\}$$

$$- (n-r) \left( \frac{\lambda^{k}}{\alpha} \right)^{\beta} (x_{k(r)})^{\beta} \left\{ \log(x_{k(r)}) + \log \left( \frac{\lambda^{k}}{\alpha} \right) \right\}$$

The equations given above are nonlinear; therefore, it is very difficult to obtain a closed form solution. So, Newton-Raphson method is used to solve these equations simultaneously to obtain  $\hat{\alpha}$ ,  $\hat{\beta}$  and  $\hat{\lambda}$ .

### **Fisher Information Matrix**

The Fisher's information matrix composed of the negative second partial derivatives of log likelihood function can be

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\partial^{2}l}{\partial\alpha^{2}} & -\frac{\partial^{2}l}{\partial\alpha\partial\lambda} & -\frac{\partial^{2}l}{\partial\alpha\partial\beta} \\ -\frac{\partial^{2}l}{\partial\lambda\partial\alpha} & -\frac{\partial^{2}l}{\partial\lambda^{2}} & -\frac{\partial^{2}l}{\partial\lambda\partial\beta} \\ -\frac{\partial^{2}l}{\partial\beta\partial\alpha} & -\frac{\partial^{2}l}{\partial\beta\partial\lambda} & -\frac{\partial^{2}l}{\partial\beta^{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

Where the elements of the Fisher Information matrix are obtained as

$$\frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \alpha^2} = \sum_{k=1}^{s} \left[ \frac{r\beta}{\alpha^2} - \beta(\beta+1)\lambda^{k\beta} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \right)^{\beta+2} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{k(i)}^{\beta} + (n-r)(x_{k(r)})^{\beta} \right\} \right]$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \lambda^2} = \sum_{k=1}^s \left[ -\frac{kr\beta}{\lambda^2} - \frac{k\beta}{\lambda^2} (k\beta - 1) \left( \frac{\lambda^k}{\alpha} \right)^{\beta} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r x_{k(i)}^{\beta} + (n-r)(x_{k(r)})^{\beta} \right\} \right]$$

$$\frac{\partial^{2} l}{\partial \beta^{2}} = \sum_{k=1}^{s} \left[ -\frac{r}{\beta^{2}} - \left(\frac{\lambda^{k}}{\alpha}\right)^{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{r} (x_{k(i)})^{\beta} \left\{ \log(x_{k(i)}) + \log\left(\frac{\lambda^{k}}{\alpha}\right) \right\}^{2} - (n-r)\left(\frac{\lambda^{k}}{\alpha}\right)^{\beta} (x_{k(r)})^{\beta} \left\{ \log(x_{k(r)}) + \log\left(\frac{\lambda^{k}}{\alpha}\right) \right\}^{2} \right]$$

$$\frac{\partial^{2} l}{\partial \alpha \partial \lambda} = \frac{\partial^{2} l}{\partial \lambda \partial \alpha} = \sum_{k=1}^{s} \left[ \frac{k \beta^{2}}{\alpha \lambda} \left( \frac{\lambda^{k}}{\alpha} \right)^{\beta} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{k(i)}^{\beta} + (n-r)(x_{k(r)})^{\beta} \right\} \right]$$

$$\frac{\partial^{2} l}{\partial \beta \partial \lambda} = \frac{\partial^{2} l}{\partial \lambda \partial \beta} = \sum_{k=1}^{s} \left[ (x_{k(i)})^{\beta} \log(x_{k(i)}) \right] \\
- \sum_{i=1}^{r} (x_{k(i)})^{\beta} \left\{ \frac{k}{\lambda} \left( \frac{\lambda^{k}}{\alpha} \right)^{\beta} + \frac{k\beta}{\lambda} \left( \frac{\lambda^{k}}{\alpha} \right)^{\beta} \log\left( \frac{\lambda^{k}}{\alpha} \right) \right\} \\
- (n-r) \frac{k\beta}{\lambda} \left( \frac{\lambda^{k}}{\alpha} \right)^{\beta} (x_{k(r)})^{\beta} \log(x_{k(r)}) \\
- (n-r) (x_{k(r)})^{\beta} \left\{ \frac{k}{\lambda} \left( \frac{\lambda^{k}}{\alpha} \right)^{\beta} + \frac{k\beta}{\lambda} \left( \frac{\lambda^{k}}{\alpha} \right)^{\beta} \log\left( \frac{\lambda^{k}}{\alpha} \right) \right\}$$

$$\frac{\partial^{2} l}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta} == \frac{\partial^{2} l}{\partial \beta \partial \alpha} = \sum_{k=1}^{s} \left[ -\frac{r}{\alpha} + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left( \frac{\lambda^{k}}{\alpha} \right)^{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{r} (x_{k(i)})^{\beta} \log(x_{k(i)}) \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{r} (x_{k(i)})^{\beta} \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( \frac{\lambda^{k}}{\alpha} \right)^{\beta} + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left( \frac{\lambda^{k}}{\alpha} \right)^{\beta} \log\left( \frac{\lambda^{k}}{\alpha} \right) \right\} + (n-r) \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left( \frac{\lambda^{k}}{\alpha} \right)^{\beta} (x_{k(r)})^{\beta} \log(x_{k(r)}) + (n-r)(x_{k(r)})^{\beta} \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( \frac{\lambda^{k}}{\alpha} \right)^{\beta} + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left( \frac{\lambda^{k}}{\alpha} \right)^{\beta} \log\left( \frac{\lambda^{k}}{\alpha} \right) \right\} \right]$$

#### **Asymptotic Confidence Intervals**

According to large sample theory, the maximum likelihood estimators under some appropriate regularity conditions are consistent and normally distributed. Since ML estimates of parameters are not in closed form, therefore, it is impossible to obtain the exact confidence intervals, so asymptotic confidence intervals based on the asymptotic theory of normal distribution instead of exact confidence intervals are obtained here.

Now, the variance covariance matrix of parameters can be written as

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\partial^{2}l}{\partial\alpha^{2}} & -\frac{\partial^{2}l}{\partial\alpha\partial\lambda} & -\frac{\partial^{2}l}{\partial\alpha\partial\beta} \\ -\frac{\partial^{2}l}{\partial\lambda\partial\alpha} & -\frac{\partial^{2}l}{\partial\lambda^{2}} & -\frac{\partial^{2}l}{\partial\lambda\partial\beta} \\ -\frac{\partial^{2}l}{\partial\beta\partial\alpha} & -\frac{\partial^{2}l}{\partial\beta\partial\lambda} & -\frac{\partial^{2}l}{\partial\beta^{2}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} AVar(\hat{\alpha}) & ACov(\hat{\alpha}\hat{\lambda}) & ACov(\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}) \\ ACov(\hat{\lambda}\hat{\alpha}) & AVar(\hat{\lambda}) & ACov(\hat{\lambda}\hat{\beta}) \\ ACov(\hat{\beta}\hat{\alpha}) & ACov(\hat{\beta}\hat{\lambda}) & AVar(\hat{\beta}) \end{bmatrix}$$

The  $100(1-\gamma)\%$  asymptotic confidence interval for  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\lambda$  are then given respectively by

$$\left[\hat{\alpha} \pm Z_{1-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \sqrt{AVar(\hat{\alpha})}\right], \left[\hat{\beta} \pm Z_{1-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \sqrt{AVar(\hat{\beta})}\right] \text{ and } \left[\hat{\lambda} \pm Z_{1-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \sqrt{AVar(\hat{\lambda})}\right]$$

#### **Simulation Study**

The performance of the estimates can be evaluated through some measures of accuracy which are the mean squared error (MSE), relative absolute bias (RAB) and the 95% asymptotic confidence intervals for different sample sizes and stress levels. Now for this purpose following simulation study is conducted.

To perform the simulation study, first a random sample  $x_{ki}$ , k=1,2,...,s, i=1,2,...,r is generated from Weibull distribution which is censored at r=25, 35. The values of the parameters and number of stress levels are chosen to be  $\alpha=0.80$ ,  $\beta=2.50$ ,  $\lambda=1.50$  and s=4. For different sample sizes n=50,100,...,250 the MLEs, MSEs, RABs and lower and upper CI limits (LCL and UCL) for 95% asymptotic confidence interval of parameters based on 600 simulations are obtained by the present model and summarized in Table 1 and 2.

Table 1: Simulation Study Results with  $\alpha = 0.80$ ,  $\beta = 2.50$ ,  $\lambda = 1.50$ , s = 4 and r = 25

n	$\hat{lpha} \ \hat{\lambda}$	$MSE(\hat{\alpha})$ $MSE(\hat{\lambda})$	$RAB(\hat{\alpha})$ $RAB(\hat{\lambda})$	95 % Confidence Interval	
	$\hat{oldsymbol{eta}}$	$\mathrm{MSE}(\hat{oldsymbol{eta}})$	$RAB(\hat{oldsymbol{eta}})$	LCL	UCL
50	0.856	0.0182	0.1782	0.4979	1.1941
	1.458	0.0039	0.0582	1.2779	1.6001
	2.545	0.0283	0.0687	2.0999	2.9680
100	0.832	0.0179	0.1742	0.4938	1.1842
	1.462	0.0056	0.0615	1.3539	1.6391

	2.538	0.0202	0.0580	2.2504	2.8957
150	0.814	0.0132	0.1442	0.5136	1.1064
	1.506	0.0078	0.0594	1.2841	1.7399
	2.519	0.0169	0.0522	2.1756	2.8464
200	0.802	0.0114	0.1338	0.7626	1.0675
	1.514	0.0092	0.0653	1.2725	1.7675
	2.504	0.0157	0.5010	2.1787	2.8253
250	0.791	0.0102	0.1278	0.5234	1.0446
	1.520	0.0163	0.0881	1.4919	1.8634
	2.488	0.0121	0.0440	2.1962	2.7818

Table 2: Simulation Study Results

with  $\alpha = 0.80$ ,  $\beta = 2.50$ ,  $\lambda = 1.50$ , s = 4 and r = 35

		· ,			
n	$\hat{lpha}$	$MSE(\hat{\alpha})$	$RAB(\hat{\alpha})$	95 %	
	â	$MSE(\hat{\lambda})$	$RAB(\hat{\lambda})$	Confidence	
		MISE (70)	KAD(N)	Interval	
	$\hat{oldsymbol{eta}}$	$\mathrm{MSE}(\hat{oldsymbol{eta}})$	$\mathrm{RAB}(\hat{oldsymbol{eta}})$	LCL	UCL
50	0.868	0.0139	0.1877	0.5888	1.1972
	1.574	0.0042	0.0761	1.4268	1.7612
	2.572	0.0161	0.0615	2.2596	2.9144
100	0.858	0.0125	0.1786	0.6005	1.1775
	1.554	0.0067	0.0709	1.3568	1.7792
	2.554	0.0183	0.0567	2.1929	2.8910
150	0.842	0.0258	0.2211	0.4596	1.2884
	1.542	0.0083	0.0608	1.2669	1.7370
	2.527	0.0204	0.0571	2.1305	2.8675
200	0.826	0.0168	0.1669	0.4976	1.1664
	1.518	0.0051	0.0489	1.2988	1.6672
	2.510	0.0192	0.0564	2.1165	2.8315
250	0.808	0.0087	0.0050	0.5634	1.0446
	1.498	0.0121	0.0053	1.2082	1.7758
	2.496	0.0228	0.0072	2.0924	2.8716

## **Discussion and Conclusions**

In this paper the problem of constant stress ALT with type-II censored Weibull failure data using GP has been considered. The MLEs, MSEs, RABs the 95% asymptotic confidence intervals of the model parameters were obtained.

From the results in Table 1 and 2, it is easy to find that estimates of the parameter perform well. For fixed  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\lambda$ , the MSEs and RABs of  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\lambda$  decreases as n increases. This indicates that the ML estimates provide asymptotically normally distributed and consistent estimator for the parameters. For the fixed sample sizes, as number of failures r gets larger the MSEs and RABs of the estimators decrease. This is very usual because more failures increase the efficiency of the estimators.

From above discussion and results it is concluded that the present model work well under type-II censored data for Weibull distribution and would be a good choice to be considered in the field of ALTs in future. For the future perspective of further research in this direction one can choose some other lifetime distribution with different types of censoring schemes.

#### References

- [1] Bagdonavicius, V. and Nikulin, M., "Accelerated life models: Modeling and statistical analysis", (2002), Chapman & Hall, Boca Raton.
- [2] Meeker, W. Q. and Escobar, L. A., "Statistical methods for reliability data", (1998), Wiley, New York.
- [3] Nelson, W. B., "Accelerated life testing: stepstress models and data analyses", IEEE Transactions on Reliability, 29, (1980), 103-108.
- [4] Nelson, W. B., "Accelerated testing: Statistical models, test plans and data analyses", (1990), Wiley, New York.
- [5] Mann, N. R. and Singpurwalla, N.D., "Life Testing: Encyclopaedia of statistical sciences", Vol. 4, (1983), pp. 632-639, Wiley, USA.
- [6] Yang, G. B., "Optimum constant-stress accelerated life-test plans, IEEE Transactions on Reliability", vol. 43, no. 4, (1994), pp. 575-581, available online: http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?tp=& arnumber=370223&isnumber=8488
- [7] Pan, Z., N. Balakrishnan, and Quan Sun, "Bivariate constant-stress accelerated degradation model and inference", Communications in Statistics-Simulation and Computation, vol. 40, no. 2, (2011), 247–257,

available online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03610918.2010.53422

ISSN: 2277-9655

Impact Factor: 1.852

- [8] Chen, W., Gao, L., Liu, J., Qian, P. and Pan, J., "Optimal design of multiple stress constant accelerated life test plan on non-rectangle test region", Chinese Journal of Mechanical Engineering, vol. 25, no. 6, (2012), pp. 1231-1237, available online: http://dx.doi.org/10.3901/CJME.2012.06.1231
- [9] Watkins, A.J. and John, A.M., "On constant stress accelerated life tests terminated by Type II censoring at one of the stress levels", Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference, vol. 138, no. 3, (2008), pp 768-786, available online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2011.03.031
- [10] Fan, T. H. and Yu, C. H., "Statistical Inference on Constant Stress Accelerated Life Tests under Generalized Gamma Lifetime Distributions", Quality and Reliability Engineering International, (2012), available online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/qre.1412
- [11] Ding, C., Yang, C. and Tse, S. K., "Accelerated life test sampling plans for the Weibull distribution under type I progressive interval censoring with random removals", Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation, vol. 80, no. 8, (2010), pp. 903-914, available online: http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00 949650902834478
- [12] Ahmad, N. Islam, A., Kumar, R. and Tuteja, R. K., "Optimal Design of Accelerated Life Test Plans Under Periodic Inspection and Type I Censoring: The Case of Rayleigh Failure Law", South African Statistical Journal, 28, (1994), pp. 27-35.
- [13] Islam, A. and Ahmad, N., "Optimal design of accelerated life tests for the Weibull distribution under periodic inspection and type I censoring", Microelectronics Reliability, vol. 34, no. 9, (1994), pp. 1459-1468, available online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0026-2714(94)90453-7
- [14] Ahmad, N. and Islam, A., "Optimal accelerated life test designs for Burr type XII distributions under periodic inspection and type I censoring", Naval Research Logistics, vol. 43, (1996), pp. 1049-1077, available online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1520-6750(199612)43:8<1049::AID-NAV2>3.0.CO:2-E
- [15] Ahmad, N., Islam, A. and Salam, A., "Analysis of optimal accelerated life test plans for periodic inspection: The case of exponentiated Weibull

- failure model", International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management, vol. 23, no. 8, (2006), pp. 1019-1046, available online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/02656710610688194
- [16] Ahmad, N., "Designing Accelerated Life Tests for Generalized Exponential Distribution with Log-linear Model", International Journal of Reliability and Safety, vol. 4, no. 2/3, (2010), pp. 238-264, available online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1504/IJRS.2010.032447
- [17] Lam, Y., "Geometric process and replacement problem, Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica", vol. 4, no. 4, (1988), pp. 366-377, available online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02007241
- [18] Lam, Y. and Zhang, Y. L., "Analysis of a two-component series system with a geometric process model", Naval Research Logistics, vol. 43, no. 4, (1996), pp. 491-502, available online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1520-6750(199606)43:4<491::AID-NAV3>3.0.CO;2-2
- [19] Lam, Y., "A monotone process maintenance model for a multistate system", Journal of Applied Probability, vol. 42, no. 1, (2005), pp. 1-14, available online: http://www.jstor.org/stable/30040765
- [20] Zhang, Y. L. (2008): A geometrical process repair model for a repairable system with delayed repair, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, vol. 55, no. 8, (2008), pp. 1629-1643, available online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2007.06.020
- [21] Huang, S., "Statistical inference in accelerated life testing with geometric process model", Master's thesis, San Diego State University, (2011), available online: http://hdl.handle.net/10211.10/1105
- [22] Kamal, M., Zarrin, S., Saxena, S. and Islam, A., "Weibull Geometric Process Model for the Analysis of Accelerated Life Testing with Complete Data", International Journal of Statistics and Applications, vol. 2, no. 5, (2012), pp. 60-66, available online: http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.5923/j.statistics.20120205.03
- [23]Zhou, K., Shi, Y. M. and Sun, T. Y., "Reliability Analysis for Accelerated Life-Test with Progressive Hybrid Censored Data Using Geometric Process, Journal of Physical Sciences, vol. 16, (2012), 133-143, http://www.vidyasagar.ac.in/journal/maths/vol1 6/JPS-V16-14.pdf
- [24] Kamal, M., Zarrin, S. and Islam, A., "Accelerated life testing design using geometric

- process for Pareto distribution, International Journal of Advanced Statistics and Probability, vol. 1, no. 2, (2013), pp. 25-31.
- [25] Braun, W.J., Li, W. and Zhao, Y.Q., "Properties of the geometric and related processes", Naval Research Logistics, 52 (7), (2005), pp. 607–616.

http://www.ijesrt.com (C) International Journal of Engineering Sciences & Research Technology [2340-2347]